1	Michael Vertkin 1982 Sobre Vista Road						
2	Sonoma, CA 95476						
	phone 415-203-1116 fax 707-938-3844						
3	Pro se: Defendant Michael Vertkin						
4							
5	United States District Court						
6	Northern District of California						
7							
8	Dr. Anna Vertkin,						
9	Plaintiff,						
10) Defendant's Initial Disclosures						
11	vs.) Pursuant to Rule 26						
12	Michael Vertkin, and Does 1-20.						
13	Defendants)						
14							
15	Defendant Michael Vertkin, files initial disclosures required by Fed.Civ.R.P 26(a) at the time of Joint Scheduling Conference or within 14 days thereafter, as required by the rules of the						
16							
17	Court.						
	A. F.R.C.P. 26(a) (1) (A)						
18							
19	Defendant identifies the following persons and legal entities that he believes to have						
20	knowledge regarding the matters that are subject of this law suit:						
21							
22	1. Plaintiff, Anna Vertkin						
23	2. Defendant, Michael Vertkin						
3. Officer Cully, Mill Valley police Department							
25	4. Officer MaCraken, Mill Valley Police Department5. Bill MacEwen, family fried and contractor, Dr. Vertkin's former patien						
	3. Bill Machwell, falling fried and contractor, Dr. vertkin s former patient						
	Defendant's Michael Vertkin initial disclosures per FRCP 26						

6.	Sara Drew,	Annals	former	office	assistant
----	------------	--------	--------	--------	-----------

- 7. Hon. Verna Adams, Marin County Superior Judge
- 8. Mill Valley Post Office Manager, name unknown at this time
- 9. Jim Goldberg, Dr. Vertkin's boyfriend
- 10. Greg Chatten, Forensic Computer Service, Saint Louis, Mo
- 11. Dr. Vertkin's office manager from May to October of 2006, name unknown at this time.
- 12. Person or persons who disassemble and vandalized Michael Vertkin's computer, names unknown at this time.
- 13. Ken Johnson, Dr. Vertkin's personal attorney
- 14. Agent or representative of American College of Forensic Examiners, Int.
- 15. Dr. Edwyne Nazarian
- 16. Person or persons, who reported to Virginia Gilbert Defendant accessing Dr. Vertkin's medical office, names unknown at this time.
- 17. Agent for E-Trade Financial Services.
- 18. Agent for Fidelity Investments.

Defendant is in the process of locating and identifying named witnesses and will provide their addresses, phone numbers and other information as they are available. Defendant reserves right to identify and discover other witnesses.

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

В. F.R.C.P. 26(a) (1) (B)

- 1. Petition by the Defendant for Marriage Dissolution filed on September 26, 2006
- 2. TRO filed by the Plaintiff in Marin County Superior Court, dated December 2, 2006
- 3. Dismissal of TRO with prejudice by the Marin County Superior Court.
- 4. Petition by the Plaintiff to borrow money against the community property in Marin County Superior Court filed in April of 2006.
- 5. Denial of the petition to borrow money against community property by Marin County Superior Court.

- 6. Petition for the Protective Order filed by the Plaintiff in Marin County Superior Court.
- 7. Dismissal with prejudice Plaintiff's Petition for Protective Order by Marin County Superior Court.

All the above documents are in the possession of the Plaintiff and need not to be provided by the Defendant. Defendant reserves the right to include information and documents related to this case as they become available through furtherer discovery and disclosures. Defendant seeks the financial information from the Fidelity Investments and E-Trade accounts which are not available at this time. Defendant seeks to discover documents and information by which means his personal credit card information and personal bank account statements came into possession of the Plaintiff and her boyfriend.

C. F.R.C.P. 26(a) (1) (C)

At this time Defendant did not file answer to the complaint with the affirmative defenses and contra-claims awaiting District Court ruling on the Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction, Res Judicata and Failure to State the claim on which Court could grant relive.

Defendant will calculate the damages after Motion to Dismiss is ruled upon when and if the answer will be filed.

Dated: November 29, 2007 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michael Wertkin

Michael Vertkin, pro se